

# Dell PowerEdge R7625 Rack Server & Emulex LPe36002 Host Bus Adapter

## 64G Fibre Channel Enables up to 4:1 Application Server Consolidation

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

New generation technology can be expected to improve performance. There are times, however, when multiple technology advances can combine to provide an outsized advantage. Such is the case when the Dell PowerEdge R7625 Rack Server with AMD EPYC processors is combined with the Broadcom Emulex LPe36002 64G Fibre Channel Host Bus Adapter.

Dell commissioned Tolly to benchmark the database performance of the Broadcom Emulex LPe36002 64G Fibre Channel dual-port host bus adapter (HBA) running in the Dell PowerEdge R7625 Rack Server and compare that to the same combined workload performance running in four separate, R740-class servers each outfitted with a 16G FC HBA as was standard with that server generation.

Tests showed that the new R7625 AMD EPYC platform's increased CPU power and improved memory performance/capacity provide an environment where the database application can push the Emulex 64G FC HBA to full line rate performance of 64GFC thus matching the combined application throughput of four R740-class Purley platform servers using 16G FC HBAs. See Figure 1.


### THE BOTTOM LINE

Dell PowerEdge R7625 with AMD EPYC processors & Emulex LPe36002 64G HBA benefits over older generation with 16G HBAs:

- 1x R7625 with 64GFC HBA can achieve same TPROC-H query throughput compared to 4x R740-class servers with 16GFC HBA
- 2 Consolidating Oracle DSS workloads from 4 R740 servers with 16GFC HBA to a single R7625 with 64GFC can significantly reduce I/O bound TPROC-H query time

### Dell R7625 & Emulex LPe36002 64G HBA Oracle Database 19c

Query Time Improvement: Dell PowerEdge R7625 (AMD EPYC 9374F) vs 4 Dell PowerEdge R740 Class Servers  
(as reported by HammerDB TPROC-H v4.7)



Notes: R740 servers were all "Purley-class" machines with the same generation Intel CPU and 16G Fibre Channel adapters.

Source: Tolly, January 2024

Figure 1



## Overview

The goal of this test was to illustrate, simply, that a single Dell PowerEdge R7625 Rack Server<sup>1</sup> using a single port of a PCIe 4.0-based, dual-port Emulex 64G FC can equal the I/O throughput of four individual, older generation, R740-class servers each using a single port of a 16G FC HBA.

The R740-class servers use older, less powerful CPUs and use 16G FC HBAs that offer, at best, 25% of the 64G FC HBA's throughput. The HBAs are constrained by the bandwidth of the PCIe 3.0 bus architecture which would limit the benefits of using the higher FC speed HBAs in the older servers.

The broader point is that this significant performance improvement means that,

for I/O-bound applications, a single Dell PowerEdge R7625 Rack Server can be used to replace and consolidate the workloads and operating expenses of up to four older servers.

## Test Background & Results

The same test was run on all of the servers and consisted of running the TPROC-H analytics workload of HammerDB.<sup>2</sup> The tests were run using the Oracle 19c database environment but the results are generally applicable to any database or other input/output intensive workload.

The TPROC-H workload measures how long it takes to run a series of 22 different types of decision support

Dell, Inc.

Dell PowerEdge  
R7625 & Emulex  
LPe36002 HBA

64G FC  
Application  
Server  
Consolidation




Tested  
January  
2024

queries. This type of workload is "read only" with no database updates taking place.

The test was run using two different scenarios. In the first scenario, four of the older servers ran the HammerDB benchmark simultaneously against the

**Dell R7625 & Emulex LPe36002 64G HBA Performance Improvements**  
Query Time vs. Disk I/O: Dell PowerEdge R7625 (AMD EPYC 9374F) vs 4 Dell PowerEdge R740 Class Servers  
(as reported by HammerDB TPROC-H v4.7)



Source: Tolly, January 2024

Figure 2

<sup>1</sup> AMD's next generation server architecture built for performance and efficiency with all new SP5 socket and supporting PCIe 5.0 and DDR5.

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.hammerdb.com/docs/ch11.html>



same Dell data store. In the second scenario, the single Dell PowerEdge R7625 ran the benchmark against the same data store.

Figure 1, above the horizontal dividing line, summarizes results of the first scenario. Because those servers were using 16G FC HBAs, 16G was the theoretical maximum for network I/O and, thus a potential bottleneck for each server. As each server finished the test, the reduced load on the target data store allowed subsequent server's tests to run more quickly. The fastest completion time was 335 seconds and the slowest was 448 seconds with the average being 405.5 seconds.

Figure 1, below the horizontal dividing line, summarizes results of the second scenario. Here, a single Dell PowerEdge R7625 Rack Server outfitted with an Emulex 64G FC HBA was able to complete the same test in 99 seconds.

## About AMD

For over 50 years, AMD has been at the forefront of driving innovation in high-performance computing, graphics, and visualization technologies. Their products are relied upon by billions of people, leading Fortune 500 businesses, and cutting-edge scientific research institutions worldwide. AMD's mission is to build exceptional products that accelerate next-generation computing experiences and power solutions for the world's most important challenges.

Visit <http://www.amd.com> for more information about AMD.

This illustrates that the R7625 could take on the full load of four servers running this type of workload.

Figure 2 shows the results of the same two scenarios overlaid and measured in terms of disk I/O over the course of the tests. The red dots represent the combined disk I/O of all four older generation servers. The blue dots represent the single Dell PowerEdge R7625 Rack Server, powered by AMD EPYC processors. The disk throughput of the single R7625 at 64G matches or exceeds the combined throughput of the four 16G servers.

Figure 3, below, illustrates the networking flow of the four older generation servers, in blue, and the Dell PowerEdge R7625, in red, across the Broadcom Brocade 64G Fibre Channel switch.

## Test Setup & Methodology

The HBA under test used current production drivers that are publicly available. Default settings were used. Details of the test environment and systems under test are found in Tables 1-10. Figure 3 shows a composite test environment.


Server systems were all VMware ESXi 8 hosts running ESXi-8.0U1-21495797 (8U2 GA). Storage volumes mapped to each VM were configured as thick provisioned, eagerly zeroed. PVSCSI controller was used.

Each VM was assigned 100GB of memory and 40 vCPUs. Each VM was running RHEL 8.8

Details of the HammerDB tests are found in the "Test Background & Results" section above.

### Application Server Consolidation Test Bed Topology

1 64GFC HBA offers the same Host to Storage IO BW equivalence as 4 16GFC HBAs



Source: Tolly, January 2024

Figure 3



## Test Configuration Summary - 1 of 2

## 64G HBA Under Test

Vendor	Product Name	Bus Architecture	Firmware	Driver
Broadcom	Emulex LPe36002	PCIe 4.0	14.2.455.15	14.2.560.8

Table 1

## R7625 Server Configuration

Vendor/System	Dell PowerEdge R7625
CPU	2 socket AMD EPYC 9374F 32-core processor @ 3.8 GHz
Number of CPUs	64 physical, 128 logical
Memory (RAM)	384 GB
OS	VMware ESXi 8
Guest OS	RHEL 8.9

Table 2

## Database Test Tool

Vendor	Open Source
Application	HammerDB 4.7
TPROC-H settings	Degree of parallelism = 32 Scale factor = 30 Virtual users = 1 Ramp-up time: 2 minutes Run time: 5 minutes

Table 3

## Oracle Database Configuration

Database	Oracle Database 19c (19.3)
Storage	Oracle Grid 19c, ASM disk group with external redundancy, 1 namespace for data
Dataset Size	40GB
Database Settings	SGA = 12000 MB PGA = 4000 MB Block size = 8 KB

Table 4

## Storage Configuration

Vendor/Device	Dell PowerStore 9200T v3.2.0.1
Ports	8 x 32G FC
Volumes	2 x NVMe: 200 GB and 1 TB
Performance Policy	High
Namespace/LUN	8 x 32G Target ports per Namespace
Network Fabric	Dell Connectrix 64G FC Switch v9.0.1.a

Table 5

Source: Tolly, January 2024



## Test Configuration Summary - 2 of 2

### 16G HBA Under Test

Vendor	Product Name	Bus Architecture	Firmware	Driver
Broadcom	LPe31002	PCIe 3.0	14.2.455.11	14.2.560.8

Table 6

### R740 Class Server Host 1 Configuration

CPU	2 socket Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6146 @ 3.2GHz
Number of CPUs	24
Memory (RAM)	128 GB

Table 7

### R740 Class Server Host 2 Configuration

CPU	2 socket Intel(R) Xeon(R) Platinum 8176 @ 2.10GHz
Number of CPUs	56
Memory (RAM)	128 GB

Table 8

### R740 Class Server Host 3 Configuration

CPU	2 socket Intel(R) Xeon(R) Platinum 8176 @ 2.10GHz
Number of CPUs	56
Memory (RAM)	128 GB

Table 9

### R740 Class Server Host 4 Configuration

CPU	2 socket Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6148 @ 2.40GHz
Number of CPUs	40
Memory (RAM)	128 GB

Table 10

Source: Tolly, January 2024



## About Tolly

The Tolly Group companies have been delivering world-class IT services for over 30 years. Tolly is a leading global provider of third-party validation services for vendors of IT products, components and services.

You can reach the company by E-mail at [sales@tolly.com](mailto:sales@tolly.com), or by telephone at +1 561.391.5610.

Visit Tolly on the Internet at:  
<http://www.tolly.com>

## Broadcom Emulex LPe36002

The Broadcom Emulex LPe36000-series Gen 7 Fibre Channel HBAs are designed for demanding mission-critical workloads and emerging applications. The family of adapters features Silicon Root of Trust security, designed to thwart firmware attacks aimed at enterprises and governments.

Gen 7 64G provides seamless backward compatibility to 32G and 16G networks.

Dell sells the LPe36002 64G HBA for the same price as the 32G model.

## Terms of Usage

This document is provided, free-of-charge, to help you understand whether a given product, technology or service merits additional investigation for your particular needs. Any decision to purchase a product must be based on your own assessment of suitability based on your needs. The document should never be used as a substitute for advice from a qualified IT or business professional. This evaluation was focused on illustrating specific features and/or performance of the product(s) and was conducted under controlled, laboratory conditions. Certain tests January have been tailored to reflect performance under ideal conditions; performance January vary under real-world conditions. Users should run tests based on their own real-world scenarios to validate performance for their own networks.

Reasonable efforts were made to ensure the accuracy of the data contained herein but errors and/or oversights can occur. The test/audit documented herein January also rely on various test tools the accuracy of which is beyond our control. Furthermore, the document relies on certain representations by the sponsor that are beyond our control to verify. Among these is that the software/hardware tested is production or production track and is, or will be, available in equivalent or better form to commercial customers. Accordingly, this document is provided "as is," and Tolly Enterprises, LLC (Tolly) gives no warranty, representation or undertaking, whether express or implied, and accepts no legal responsibility, whether direct or indirect, for the accuracy, completeness, usefulness or suitability of any information contained herein. By reviewing this document, you agree that your use of any information contained herein is at your own risk, and you accept all risks and responsibility for losses, damages, costs and other consequences resulting directly or indirectly from any information or material available on it. Tolly is not responsible for, and you agree to hold Tolly and its related affiliates harmless from any loss, harm, injury or damage resulting from or arising out of your use of or reliance on any of the information provided herein.

Tolly makes no claim as to whether any product or company described herein is suitable for investment. You should obtain your own independent professional advice, whether legal, accounting or otherwise, before proceeding with any investment or project related to any information, products or companies described herein. When foreign translations exist, the English document is considered authoritative. To assure accuracy, only use documents downloaded directly from Tolly.com. No part of any document January be reproduced, in whole or in part, without the specific written permission of Tolly. All trademarks used in the document are owned by their respective owners. You agree not to use any trademark in or as the whole or part of your own trademarks in connection with any activities, products or services which are not ours, or in a manner which January be confusing, misleading or deceptive or in a manner that disparages us or our information, projects or developments.